

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,772	08/21/2003	Teruo Kobayashi	031036	6895	
38834 75	590 07/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			MYERS,	MYERS, ADAM C	
SUITE 700	CHECH AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		1761		

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1		\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,772	KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adam C. Myers	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT and cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	ugust 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·	•	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	:		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	•	
in the oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	xammer. Note the attached	Since Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		plication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date´. omal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/2 1/2003. 	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:		

Office Action Summary

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saroj. Saroj discloses a method of preparing rice. This method of preparing rice is well known in the art, the prepared rice commonly known as "fried rice." The method comprises the steps of boiling rice until nearly cooked through, draining and cooling the rice, frying with vegetables in oil, and then allowing cooking to finish with seasonings or sauces. The steps of washing and soaking rice are inherent steps in the preparation of rice.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,772

Art Unit: 1761

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saroj. The teaching of Saroj has been discussed above. Saroj has not disclosed those limitations presented in the instant claims 2 and 3. Firstly, in the instant claim 2, regarding the thickness of the rice grains, it is well known in the art that rice thickness is typically in the range of 1-2 mm. This limitation defines all rice, and is not an exclusive property of the rice product prepared according to the instant claim 1.

Regarding the moisture content and the dispersion area of the rice product, one of ordinary skill in the art would note that any rice product prepared by the method of instant claim 1 or any method anticipating or being anticipatory of the instant claim 1 would produce a rice product with identical properties. Absent any clear and convincing evidence and/or arguments to the contrary, the limitations of the instant claims 2 and 3 are applied to any rice product, such as a rice product prepared by the method of Saroj discussed, above would possess the properties of the rice product claimed in the instant claims 2 and 3. Given that the office does not possess the facilities to test the rice products prepared by the method of Saroj and the method of the instant claim 1, the burden shifts to the applicant to demonstrate a patentable distinction of the limiting properties claimed in the instant claims 2 and 3.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam C. Myers whose telephone number is 571-272-6466. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-4: 30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,772 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

acm

KEITH HENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINED